

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q63444

Michihiko ICHINOSE

Appln. No.: 09/910,899

Group Art Unit: 2827

Confirmation No.: 3356

Examiner: Luan C. THAI

Filed: July 24, 2001

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND PACKAGING METHOD THEREOF

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, Applicant hereby notifies the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office of the documents which are listed on the attached PTO/SB/08 A & B (modified) form and listed herein and which the Examiner may deem material to patentability of the claims of the above-identified application.

Japanese Unexamined Patent Publication No. H6-62382, published September 2, 1994.
 One copy of each of the listed documents is submitted herewith.

The present Information Disclosure Statement is being filed after the later of three months from the application's filing date and the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before a Final Office Action, Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application (whichever is earlier), and therefore Applicant is filing

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

U.S. Appln. No.: 09/910,899

concurrently herewith a Statement Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e). No fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p)

is required.

In compliance with the concise explanation requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)(3) for

foreign language documents, Applicant encloses here with a copy of a corresponding Japanese

Office Action dated April 9, 2003 and an English translation of the pertinent portions thereof

which cites such documents and indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office.

The submission of the listed documents is not intended as an admission that any such

document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application. Applicant does not

waive any right to take any action that would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove any

listed document as a competent reference against the claims of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard L. Bernstein

Registration No. 25,665

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Date: May 30, 2003

2



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q63444

Michihiko ICHINOSE

Appln. No.: 09/910,899

Group Art Unit: 2827

Confirmation No.: 3356

Examiner: Luan C. THAI

Filed: July 24, 2001

For: SE

SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE AND PACKAGING METHOD THEREOF

STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned hereby states, upon information and belief:

That each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement filed concurrently herewith was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of said Information Disclosure Statement.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

22272

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Date: May 30, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Howard L. Bernstein Registration No. 25,665

Substitute for Form 1449 A & B-PTO	
	Applies
C YNFORMATION DISCLOSURI	Confirm
MAY 3 0 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Filing I
MAY 3 0 2003	First N.
(use as many sheets as necessary)	Art Uni
	F

Complete if Known				
Application Number	09/910,899			
Confirmation Number	3356			
Filing Date	July 24, 2001			
First Named Inventor	Michihiko ICHINOSE			
Art Unit	2827			
Examiner Name	Luan C. THAI			
Attorney Docket Number	Q63444			

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Cite No.1	Document					
	Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document		
		US				
		US				
		US				
		US				
		US				
		US				
		US				
		US				
		US				

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document			Publication Date	Name of Patentee or		
	Country Code ³	Number ⁴	Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Translation ⁶	
	 -	JP	H6-62382		09-02-1994		No
		-					
		<u> </u>	 				
-		 		 			
				 			
		 		<u> </u>			
			-				

		OTHER ART - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city, and/or country where published.	Translation ⁶
— —	_ ·		
			1

		T
Examiner Signature	Date Considered	

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov, MPEP 901.04 or in the comment box of this document. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST. 3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to indicate here if English language Translation is attached.

Ref. Q63444

Date of dispatch: April 9, 2003

With respect to the fact that the test area is placed more on the inside than the mounting area, since this is found to be merely a matter of circuit design that can be determined appropriately by person with ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains as necessary (as indicated, for example, in the CD-ROM of Japanese Utility Model Application H5-8358 (Japanese Unexamined Utility Model Application H6-62382) (referring especially to the "test pad 3" and "connection pads 7a and 7b" in Figures 1 and 2)), we cannot accept the assertions made in the Applicant's Written Argument.